

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/619,274	07/14/2003	Jan Erik Jansson	SOI8226P0111US	6322	
32116 7	590 01/19/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
WOOD, PHILLIPS, KATZ, CLARK & MORTIMER			SINGH, SUNIL		
500 W. MADIS SUITE 3800	SON STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL	60661		3673		
			DATE MAILED: 01/19/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			pu				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	,				
Office Assistant Commencer	10/619,274	JANSSON, JAN ERIK					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The ASAU DIO DATE of the communication on	Sunil Singh	3673					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sneet	vitn the correspondence address	•				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office tater than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a color within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC as, cause the application to become	n reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communical ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This	ction is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
,	- · · · · ·						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 9-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 9-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•	** :					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 3673

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 16 is indefinite. Claim 16 depends from the intermediate article of claim 14 which is an unbroken mat; however claim 16 requires for the mat to be broken. This render claim 16 indefinite since the structure (mat) cannot be both broken and unbroken.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical

Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting

Art Unit: 3673

directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 9-16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chen (US 6585449).

Chen discloses a concrete mat, comprising: a concrete slab having relatively thick portions defining blocks connected by relatively thinner portions, said relatively thinner portions including holes therein spaced from said thick portions and defining weakened areas whereby said slab is breakable along portions of the thinner portions which are spaced from said thick portions defining blocks, the defined blocks being arranged in parallel rows and being staggered in adjacent rows; and a flexible geogrid (see col. 3 line 10+) embedded in said slab, said geogrid defining flexible members connecting said blocks to one another when the slab is broken along the relatively thinner portions.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sunil Singh whose telephone number is (703) 308-4024. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford can be reached on (703) 308-2978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,274 Page 4

Art Unit: 3673

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sunil Singh Primary Examiner Junilly C Art Unit 3673

1/15/05